arXiv:1409.0132v3 [math.DG] 23 Sep 2015

THE SUB-INDEX OF CRITICAL POINTS OF DISTANCE FUNCTIONS

BARBARA HERZOG AND FREDERICK WILHELM

Abstract. We define a new notion—the sub-index of a critical point of a distance function. We show how sub-index affects the homotopy type of sublevel sets of distance functions.

Morse Theory is based on the idea that a smooth function on a manifold yields data about its topology. Specifically, Morse's Isotopy Lemma tells us that two sublevels are diffeomorphic provided there are no critical points between their corresponding levels. Further, the index of the Hessian of the function constrains the change in homotopy type caused by a critical point.

Since Riemannian distance functions are not smooth everywhere, critical points and the Hessian cannot be defined in the usual way. In 1977, Grove and Shiohama created a definition of critical point for distance functions and used it to generalize Morse's Isotopy Lemma to this case. Their generalization had a profound impact on Riemannian geometry. However, without a definition of index, the remainder of Morse Theory cannot be generalized. Here we propose a partial remedy to this situation. Before stating it, we recall the definition of critical points.

Definition A. *Let M be a complete Riemannian n–manifold. For $x_0 \in M$, let S_{x_0} be the unit tangent sphere at x_0 and $K \subset M$ be compact. Set*

$$\Uparrow_{x_0}^K \equiv \{w \in S_{x_0} \mid w \text{ is tangent at } x_0 \text{ to a minimal geodesic from } x_0 \text{ to } K\}.$$

A point x_0 in M is regular *for* $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$ *if there exists a v in $T_{x_0}M$ such that $\sphericalangle(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Otherwise, x_0 is called* critical *for* $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$.

Since the set $\Uparrow_{x_0}^K$ can be quite unwieldy, for critical points x_0 of $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) &\equiv \left\{v \in S_{x_0} | \sphericalangle\left(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\right\} \\ &= \left\{v \in S_{x_0} | \sphericalangle\left(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ is an intersection of hemispheres in S_{x_0}, $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ is convex. In particular, if $\partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset$, then $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ is a great subsphere of S_{x_0}. Motivated by these observations we define sub-index as follows.

Date: August 28, 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Distance functions, Critical points, Index.

Definition B. *Let x_0 be a critical point of* $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$. *The sub-index of x_0 is*

$$\begin{cases} n & \text{if } A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset \\ n - \dim \operatorname{span}\left\{A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\} & \text{if } A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset \\ \infty & \text{if } \partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$B(K,r) \equiv \{x \in M |\ \operatorname{dist}(K,x) < r\}.$$

Theorem C. *Suppose that the critical points for* $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$ *are isolated and that those with* $\operatorname{dist}(K,x_0) = c_0$ *have sub-index* $\geq \lambda$. *Then for all sufficiently small* $\varepsilon > 0$, *the inclusion* $B(K,c_0-\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow B(K,c_0+\varepsilon)$ *is* $(\lambda-1)$*-connected, that is,*

$$\pi_i(B(K,c_0+\varepsilon),\ B(K,c_0-\varepsilon)) = 0$$

for $i = 1,\ldots,(\lambda-1)$.

Remark. *When all critical points with* $\operatorname{dist}(K,x_0) = c_0$ *have* $\partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset$, λ *is* ∞, *and the theorem asserts that* $B(K,c_0-\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow B(K,c_0+\varepsilon)$ *is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

This extends an aspect of the classical result of Morse Theory about nondegenerate critical points of smooth functions $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To see why, set $M^r \equiv \{x \in M | f(x) \leq r\}$. According to the classical result of Morse Theory, if x_0 is a nondegenerate critical point of f of index λ, then $M^{r+\varepsilon}$ has the homotopy type of a CW–complex obtained from $M^{r-\varepsilon}$ by attaching a λ–cell. This implies that

$$\pi_i(M^{r+\varepsilon},\ M^{r-\varepsilon}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \leq \lambda - 1.$$

Our theorem recovers this aspect of Morse Theory. On the other hand, in the case of a smooth function,

$$H_i(M^{r+\varepsilon},\ M^{r-\varepsilon}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq \lambda.$$

We have no analogous result about relative homology in dimensions larger than λ for distance functions. For this reason, we call our notion sub-index rather than index.

Our hypothesis that the critical points for $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$ be isolated is implicitly present in the classical result, since nondegenerate critical points of smooth functions are isolated.

Our theory yields a very rigid structure for critical points that impact the fundamental group.

Theorem D. *Suppose that the critical points for* $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$ *are isolated and that for some* $c_0 > 0$ *and all sufficiently small* $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\pi_1(B(K,c_0+\varepsilon), B(K,c_0-\varepsilon)) \neq 0.$$

Then there is a critical point x_0 for $\operatorname{dist}(K,\cdot)$ *with* $\operatorname{dist}(K,x_0) = c_0$ *so that there are only two minimal geodesics from K to x_0 that make angle π at x_0. Moreover, the ends of these geodesic segments are not conjugate along the segments.*

The theory of sub-index is beautifully exemplified by flat tori.

Example E. *Let M be the flat n-torus obtained from the standard embedding of $\mathbb{Z}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. that with fundamental domain $[0,1]^n$. For K we take the equivalence class of the point $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. The cut locus of K is the equivalence class of the boundary of $[0,1]^n$. The critical points are the centers of subcubes of the boundary of $[0,1]^n$. For example, the center of the k–dimensional subcube*

$$[0,1]^k \times \overbrace{(0,0,0,\ldots,0)}^{(n-k) \text{ times}}$$

is critical. The sub-index of a critical point at the center of a k–dimensional subcube is $n-k$. For instance, the equivalence class of the corners $[(1,1,1,\ldots,1)]$ is a maximum and has sub-index n. In light of the conjecture that the total Betti numbers of a nonnegatively curved n–manifold is $\leq 2^n = \Sigma_i Betti_i(T^n)$, it is intriguing that, for this example, the number of critical points of sub-index λ coincides with the λ^{th}–Betti number of the torus.

A slide show illustrating this example in dimension 3 can be found at [9].

The proof of Theorem C is divided into three cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Case 1:} \quad A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset, \\ &\text{Case 2:} \quad A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset, \\ &\text{Case 3:} \quad \partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 1, we establish notations and conventions. A lemma that reduces the proof of Theorem C to a local problem is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we study certain flows on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that we then transfer to M via normal coordinates, in Section 4, where the proof of Theorem C is completed.

For a general idea of the proof, note that if $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ is empty, all vectors along minimal geodesics emanating from x_0 point in a direction of decrease for $\text{dist}(K, \cdot)$. This means x_0 is an isolated local maximum. So any cell of dimension less than n can be deformed into $B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon)$.

For the other two cases, $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ is not empty, and for $k = 1, \ldots, (\lambda - 1)$ we consider a k-dimensional cell $\iota : E^k \longrightarrow B(K, c_0 + \varepsilon)$ with $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \subset B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon)$. To prove the theorem, we construct a homotopy of ι into $B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon)$ that fixes $\iota|_{\partial E^k}$. When the boundary of $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ is empty, $\text{span}\left(A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right) \subset T_{x_0}M$ is a linear subspace of dimension $n-\lambda$. Transversality allows us to move $\iota\left(E^k\right)$ away from $\exp_{x_0}\left(\text{span}\left(A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right)\right)$.

If both $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ and its boundary are not empty, $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ contains a vector w_s such that

$$A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \subset \left\{ v \in S_{x_0} \mid \sphericalangle(v, w_s) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}.$$

We argue that there is an extension of $-w_s$ to a vector field whose flow moves $\iota\left(E^k\right)$ into $B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon)$.

We prove Theorem D in Sections 5 and 6, and state alternative versions of Theorems C and D in Section 7.

Acknowledgement: *We are profoundly grateful to the referee for pointing out that a preliminary draft contained an error in the proof of Theorem 3.2.*

1. Background, Notations, and Conventions

We assume throughout that M is a complete Riemannian n–manifold. We write $\mathrm{dist}_K(\cdot)$ for $\mathrm{dist}(K,\cdot)$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} S(K,r) &\equiv \{x \in M \mid \mathrm{dist}_K(x) = r\} \text{ and} \\ B(K,r) &\equiv \{x \in M \mid \mathrm{dist}_K(x) < r\}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the terms *segment* and *minimal geodesic* interchangeably.

For $x_0 \in M$, recall that S_{x_0} is the unit tangent sphere at x_0. For either $K \subset M$ or $K \in M$,

$$\Uparrow_{x_0}^K \equiv \{w \in S_{x_0} \mid w \text{ is tangent at } x_0 \text{ to a minimal geodesic from } x_0 \text{ to } K\}.$$

We let

$$\uparrow_{x_0}^K \in \Uparrow_{x_0}^K$$

stand for any member of $\Uparrow_{x_0}^K$, and we let U_θ be the θ–neighborhood of $\Uparrow_{x_0}^K$ in S_{x_0}, that is

$$U_\theta \equiv \left\{ v \in S_{x_0} \mid \sphericalangle\left(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) < \theta \right\}.$$

Throughout we assume that x_0 is an isolated critical point for dist_K with $\mathrm{dist}_K(x_0) = c_0$, and we denote the injectivity radius at x_0 by inj_{x_0}. By [10], dist_K is directionally differentiable, and in a direction $v \in S_{x_0}$, the derivative is

$$D_v(\mathrm{dist}_K) = -\cos \sphericalangle(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K).$$

An immediate consequence is

Lemma 1.1. *Given $x_0 \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for all $v \in S_{x_0}$*

$$c_0 + \left(-\cos \sphericalangle(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K) - \varepsilon\right) \cdot t \le \mathrm{dist}_K\left(\exp_{x_0}(tv)\right) \le c_0 + \left(-\cos \sphericalangle(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K) + \varepsilon\right) \cdot t$$

for all $t \in [0, \rho]$.

For simplicity, we only discuss the proof of Theorem C in the special case when x_0 is the only critical point with $\mathrm{dist}_K(x_0) = c_0$. Our method easily adapts to the general case with minor technical modifications. By compactness, it follows that there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$x_0 \text{ is the only critical point for } \mathrm{dist}_K \text{ in } \overline{B(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0)} \setminus B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0). \tag{1.1.1}$$

For $v, w \in S_{x_0} \subset T_{x_0}M$ we use $\mathrm{dist}(v,w)$ or $\sphericalangle(v,w)$, depending on the context, and whether we wish to emphasize the importance of $v, w \in S_{x_0}$ or the importance of $v, w \in T_{x_0}M$. A similar comment applies to $v, w \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus for $v, w \in S_{x_0} \subset T_{x_0}M$ or $v, w \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we use

$$\sphericalangle(v,w) = \mathrm{dist}(v,w)$$

interchangeably. However, for $v, a, w \in S_{x_0} \subset T_{x_0}M$ or $v, a, w \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\sphericalangle(v,a,w)$$

refers to the angle of the hinge in S_{x_0} or S^{n-1} with vertex a.

2. The Local Reduction Lemma

Lemma 2.1. *(Local Reduction Lemma) Let ε_0 be as in Statement 1.1.1. Suppose that for $r \in \left(0, \frac{\text{inj}_{x_0}}{2}\right)$, we have*

$$\overline{B(x_0, 2r)} \subset B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right) \setminus \overline{B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right)}.$$

Then there is an $\eta > 0$ and a deformation retract of $\overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right)}$ to a subset of $U \equiv B\left(K, c_0 - \eta\right) \cup B(x_0, r)$.

Proof. (Cf the proof of Lemma 55 in [11].) Following [11], we say that for $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, x is α–regular for dist_K provided there is a $v \in S_x$ so that

$$\sphericalangle\left(v, w\right) < \alpha \text{ for all } w \in \Uparrow_x^K .$$

From Proposition 47 in [11], we see that the set of α–regular points for dist_K is open and has a unit vector field X so that for every integral curve c of X

$$\frac{\text{dist}_K c\left(t\right) - \text{dist}_K c\left(s\right)}{t - s} < -\cos\alpha < 0$$

for all t, s in the domain of c.

Since

$$\bar{V}_0 \equiv \overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right)} \setminus \left\{ B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right) \cup B(x_0, \frac{1}{100} r) \right\}$$

is compact and free of critical points for dist_K, it follows that there is an $\alpha_0 > 0$ so that dist_K is α_0–regular on $\bar{V}_0$.

Let X be a unit vector field on $\bar{V}_0$ so that

$$\frac{\text{dist}_K c\left(t\right) - \text{dist}_K c\left(s\right)}{t - s} < -\cos\alpha_0 < 0. \tag{2.1.1}$$

We also have that

$$\bar{V}_1 \equiv \overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right)} \setminus \left\{ B\left(K, c_0 + \frac{\cos\left(\alpha_0\right)}{100} r\right) \right\}$$

is compact and free of critical points for dist_K, so there is $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that dist_K is α_1–regular on $\bar{V}_1$. It follows from the Isotopy Lemma (see, e.g., Lemma 55 in [11]) that $\overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right)}$ is homeomorphic to and deformation retracts onto $\overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \frac{\cos(\alpha_0)}{100} r\right)}$. Let Φ be the deformation retraction that accomplishes this, and let $\bar{\Psi}$ be the flow of X. It follows from Inequality 2.1.1 and the fact that X is unit, that for all

$$y \in \overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \frac{\cos\left(\alpha_0\right)}{100} r\right)} \setminus \left\{ B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right) \cup B(x_0, \frac{1}{4} r) \right\}$$

there is a first time $t_y \in \left(0, \frac{r}{50}\right)$ that varies continuously with y so that

$$\bar{\Psi}_{t_y}\left(y\right) \in \overline{B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\cos\left(\alpha_0\right)}{100} r\right)}. \tag{2.1.2}$$

If, in addition, $y \in B(x_0, \frac{3}{4}r)$, then for all $t \in [0, t_y] \subset \left[0, \frac{r}{50}\right]$,

$$\bar{\Psi}_t(y) \text{ is in } B(x_0, r). \tag{2.1.3}$$

Let $\psi : M \longrightarrow [0,1]$ be C^∞ and satisfy

$$\psi|_{\overline{B(x_0, \frac{1}{4}r)}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } \psi|_{M \setminus B(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r)} \equiv 1.$$

Set

$$\Psi_t(y) = \begin{cases} \bar{\Psi}_{t_y \cdot \psi(y) \cdot t}(y) & \text{if } y \in \overline{M \setminus B(x_0, \frac{1}{8}r)} \\ y & \text{if } y \in \overline{B(x_0, \frac{1}{4}r)} \end{cases}$$

and $\eta = \frac{\cos(\alpha_0)}{200}r$.

By combining 2.1.2 with the definition of Ψ and the fact that X is unit, it follows that for $y \in \overline{B\left(K, c_0 + \frac{\cos(\alpha_0)}{100}r\right)} \setminus \left\{B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0) \cup B(x_0, \frac{3}{4}r)\right\}$,

$$\Psi_1(y) \in B(K, c_0 - \eta).$$

Combining this with 2.1.3 it follows that

$$\Psi_1\left(B\overline{\left(K, c_0 + \frac{\cos(\alpha_0)}{100}r\right)}\right) \subset B(K, c_0 - \eta) \cup B(x_0, r).$$

Thus concatenating Φ with Ψ gives a deformation retraction of $\overline{B(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0)}$ onto a subset of $B(K, c_0 - \eta) \cup B(x_0, r)$. □

3. Useful Flows on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, we study certain flows on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that in the next section, are transferred to M via normal coordinates to prove Theorem C. The main results are Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, which are used in the proofs of Case 2 and Case 3 of Theorem C respectively.

Proposition 3.1. *Write $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ and let $S^p \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ and $S^q \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ be the unit spheres in $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ respectively. Then on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:*
1. $\operatorname{dist}(S^p, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *is smooth and has no critical points.*
2. Let $\Uparrow$ be any closed subset of S^p so that $S^p \subset B(\Uparrow, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *has no critical points on $S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$. In fact,* $\operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{dist}(S^p, \cdot))$ *is gradient-like for* $\operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, \cdot)$ *on $S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$.*

Proof. Every point $P \in S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$ can be written uniquely as

$$P = (X \sin\theta, Y \cos\theta),$$

where $X \in S^p$, $Y \in S^q$, and $\theta \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{dist}(S^p, \cdot) = \theta$ and hence is smooth on $S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$. Its gradient is

$$\operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{dist}(S^p, \cdot))|_P = (X \cos\theta, -Y \sin\theta) = -\uparrow_P^X;$$

so Part 1 is proven.

For Part 2, we start with $P = (X\sin\theta, Y\cos\theta) \in S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$, and let Γ be any member of $\Uparrow$ with

$$\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, X) = \operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, X) < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Applying the Law of Spherical Cosines to the right triangle $\Delta(\Gamma, X, P)$ gives

$$\cos(\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, P)) = \cos(\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, X))\cos(\operatorname{dist}(X, P)). \tag{3.1.1}$$

Since

$$0 < \operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, X) < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \operatorname{dist}(X, P) < \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{3.1.2}$$

the right-hand side of (3.1.1) is positive. It follows that

$$0 < \cos(\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, P)) < \cos(\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, X)). \tag{3.1.3}$$

A further consequence of Equation 3.1.1 is that for $\Gamma \in \Uparrow$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, X) = \operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, X) \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, P) = \operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, P).$$

Applying the Law of Spherical Cosines to the triangle $\Delta(\Gamma, P, X)$ yields

$$\cos(\sphericalangle(X, P, \Gamma)) = \frac{\cos(\operatorname{dist}(X, \Gamma)) - \cos(\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, P))\cos(\operatorname{dist}(P, X))}{\sin(\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, P))\sin(\operatorname{dist}(P, X))}.$$

Combined with Inequality 3.1.3, this gives $\sphericalangle(X, P, \Gamma) \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Since Γ was chosen to be any member of $\Uparrow$ with $\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma, P) = \operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, P)$, it follows that

$$-\uparrow_P^X = \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{dist}(S^p, \cdot))|_P$$

is gradient-like for $\operatorname{dist}(\Uparrow, \cdot)$ at P. Since P was an arbitrary point in $S^{n-1} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$, Part 2 follows. □

For the remainder of the section, we let $\Uparrow$ be a $\frac{\pi}{2}$–net in S^{n-1} for which $\partial A(\Uparrow) \neq \emptyset$. By definition, $A(\Uparrow)$ consists of unit tangent vectors at least $\frac{\pi}{2}$ away from $\Uparrow$. So $A(\Uparrow)$ is the intersection of $\frac{\pi}{2}$–balls in the unit sphere S^{n-1}. Together with the hypothesis $\partial A(\Uparrow) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that there is a vector w_s in $A(\Uparrow)$ such that

$$A(\Uparrow) \subset \overline{B\left(w_s, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}. \tag{3.1.4}$$

After applying a linear isometry of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we may assume that $w_s = e_1 \equiv (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving the following theorem, which, apart from Proposition 3.1, is the only result of this section that is directly used in the remainder of the paper.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Uparrow$ be a $\frac{\pi}{2}$–net in S^{n-1} for which $\partial A(\Uparrow) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$A(\Uparrow) \subset \overline{B\left(e_1, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}.$$

There is a constant $\alpha_0 \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ *so that given* $R > 0$*, there is a flow* Ω_t *of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *so that for all* $t \in [0,1]$ *and all* $y \in B(0,R)$*,*

$$\Omega_t(y) \subset B\left(0, |y| + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right), \tag{3.2.1}$$

$$\Omega_1(B(0,R)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B\left(0, \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right), \tag{3.2.2}$$

$$\sphericalangle(\Omega_1(B(0,R)), \Uparrow) \leq \alpha_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{3.2.3}$$

and Ω_t *is the identity on*

$$\{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,2R)\}.$$

We construct Ω by modifying the flow

$$\Psi_t : V \mapsto V - te_1$$

generated by $-e_1$. Before doing this, we establish two preliminary lemmas concerning Ψ.

Lemma 3.3. *1. If* $\sphericalangle(e_1, y) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$*, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\Psi(y,t)|\Big|_{t=0} \leq 0.$$

If $\sphericalangle(e_1, y) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$*, then*

$$0 \leq \frac{d}{dt}|\Psi(y,t)|\Big|_{t=0} \leq 1.$$

2. Let $y^\perp$ *be the component of* y *that is perpendicular to* e_1*. Then for* $y \neq 0$*,*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\cos\sphericalangle(\Psi(y,t), e_1)\Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{\left|y^\perp\right|^2}{|y|^3}.$$

In particular, for $y \notin \operatorname{span}\{e_1\}$*,*

$$t \mapsto \sphericalangle(\Psi(y,t), e_1)$$

is a strictly increasing function.
3. For $y = e_1$*,*

$$\sphericalangle(\Psi(y,t), e_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in (0,1) \\ \pi & \text{for } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

4. Set

$$t_y \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_1 \cdot y \leq 0 \\ e_1 \cdot y & \text{if } e_1 \cdot y \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$*, then*

$$\Psi(y, t_y) = y^\perp.$$

Proof. Differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left|y-te_1\right| &= \frac{d}{dt}\left[(y-te_1)\cdot(y-te_1)\right]^{1/2}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(y-te_1)\cdot(y-te_1)\right]^{-1/2}(2t-2y\cdot e_1)\\ &= \frac{t-y\cdot e_1}{|y-te_1|}.\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at $t=0$, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left|y-te_1\right|\Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{y\cdot e_1}{|y|}, \tag{3.3.1}$$

proving Part 1.

Since

$$\cos\sphericalangle(e_1, y-te_1) = \frac{y\cdot e_1 - t}{|y-te_1|},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\cos\sphericalangle(e_1,y-te_1) = -\frac{y\cdot e_1}{|y-te_1|^2}\frac{d}{dt}|y-te_1| - \frac{|y-te_1| - t\frac{d}{dt}|y-te_1|}{|y-te_1|^2}. \tag{3.3.2}$$

Evaluating 3.3.2 at $t=0$ and using 3.3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\cos\sphericalangle(e_1,y-te_1)\Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{y\cdot e_1}{|y|^2}\cdot\frac{y\cdot e_1}{|y|} - \frac{|y|}{|y|^2}\\ &= \frac{(e_1\cdot y)^2 - |y|^2}{|y|^3}\\ &= -\frac{\left|y^\perp\right|^2}{|y|^3},\end{aligned}$$

proving Part 2.

Part 3 is an immediate consequence of the definition of Ψ.

To establish Part 4, note that if $e_1\cdot y \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}e_1\cdot\Psi(y,t_y) &= e_1\cdot y - t_y(e_1\cdot e_1)\\ &= e_1\cdot y - t_y\\ &= 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.3}$$

since $t_y = e_1\cdot y$. Letting the superscript $^\perp$ denote the component of a vector perpendicular to e_1, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(y,t_y) &= (\Psi(y,t_y))^\perp, \text{ by Equation 3.3.3}\\ &= y - t_y e_1\\ &= y^\perp,\end{aligned}$$

proving Part 4. □

Lemma 3.4. *Set*

$$t_y \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_1 \cdot y \leq 0 \\ e_1 \cdot y & \text{if } e_1 \cdot y \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For all $y \in B(0,R)$ *and all* $t \in \left[0, \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right]$,

$$\cos \sphericalangle \left(\Psi_{t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y), e_1 \right) \leq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{11}},$$

$$\left| \Psi_{t_y + t}(y) \right| \leq |y| + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}$$

and

$$\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} \leq \left| \Psi_{t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y) \right|.$$

Proof. First we prove the inequalities when $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$.

Part 4 of Lemma 3.3 gives that if $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$, then $y - t_y e_1 = y^\perp$, the component of y that is perpendicular to e_1. So for $t \in \left[0, \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right]$ and $y \in B(0,R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |y - (t_y + t) e_1|^2 &= \left| y^\perp - t e_1 \right|^2 \\ &= \left| y^\perp \right|^2 + |t|^2 \\ &\leq |y|^2 + |t|^2 \\ &\leq |y|^2 + \frac{R^2}{10}, \text{ so} \\ |y - (t_y + t) e_1| &\leq \sqrt{|y|^2 + \frac{R^2}{10}} \qquad (3.4.1) \\ &\leq |y| + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} \end{aligned}$$

so the second inequality follows when $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$.

To prove the first inequality when $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$, note

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \sphericalangle (e_1, y - (t_y + t) e_1) &= e_1 \cdot \left(\frac{y^\perp - t e_1}{|y - (t_y + t) e_1|} \right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{t}{|y - (t_y + t) e_1|} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{-t}{\sqrt{R^2 + t^2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

by Inequality 3.4.1. Hence

$$\cos^2 \sphericalangle (e_1, y - (t_y + t) e_1) \geq \frac{t^2}{R^2 + t^2}.$$

Thus for $t = \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\cos^2 \sphericalangle \left(e_1, y - \left(t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} \right) e_1 \right) &\geq \frac{\frac{R^2}{10}}{R^2 + \frac{R^2}{10}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{R^2}{10}}{\frac{11R^2}{10}} \\ &= \frac{1}{11},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\cos \sphericalangle \left(e_1, y - \left(t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} \right) e_1 \right) \leq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{11}}, \tag{3.4.2}$$

proving the first inequality when $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$.

To prove the third inequality when $e_1 \cdot y \geq 0$, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \Psi_{t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} (y) \right|^2 &= \left| y^\perp - \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} e_1 \right|^2 \\ &= \left| y^\perp \right|^2 + \frac{R^2}{10} \\ &\geq \frac{R^2}{10}, \text{ so} \\ \left| \Psi_{t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} (y) \right| &\geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.3}$$

To prove the inequalities when $e_1 \cdot y \leq 0$, we use that $y^\perp$ is on the backward flow line of Ψ that passes through y. Combining this with the fact that

$$t \mapsto \sphericalangle \left(\Psi(y,t), e_1 \right)$$

is an increasing function gives

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \sphericalangle \left(\Psi_{t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} (y), e_1 \right) &= \cos \sphericalangle \left(\Psi_{\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} (y), e_1 \right) \\ &\leq \cos \sphericalangle \left(\Psi_{\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} \left(y^\perp \right), e_1 \right) \\ &\leq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{11}}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining Part 1 of Lemma 3.3 with $e_1 \cdot y \leq 0$ and the fact that $y^\perp$ is on the backward flow line of Ψ that passes through y, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \Psi_{t_y + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} (y) \right| &= \left| \Psi_{\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} (y) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \Psi_{\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}} \left(y^\perp \right) \right| \\ &\geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}},\end{aligned}$$

by Inequality 3.4.3, and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Psi_{t_y+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y)\right| &= \left|\Psi_{\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y)\right| \\ &= \left|y-\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}e_1\right| \\ &\leq |y|+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}},\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Set

$$K_0 \equiv \left\{ z \in S^{n-1} \;\middle|\; \cos\sphericalangle(z,e_1) \leq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{11}} \right\}.$$

Our hypothesis

$$A(\Uparrow) \subset \overline{B\left(e_1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}$$

implies that there is an $\alpha_0 \in \left(0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ so that

$$\sphericalangle(z,\Uparrow) < \alpha_0 < \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{3.4.4}$$

for all $z \in K_0$.

Given $R>0$, set

$$Z = \{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,2R)\}$$

and

$$O = \overline{B\left(0,\frac{3}{2}R\right)}.$$

Let $f:\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow [0,1]$ be C^∞ and satisfy

$$f|_Z \equiv 0 \text{ and } f|_O \equiv 1. \tag{3.4.5}$$

Set

$$X \equiv -f\cdot e_1$$

and let $\overline{\Psi}$ be the flow of X.

By Lemma 3.4, for all $y \in B(0,R)$ and all $t \in \left[0,\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\cos\sphericalangle\left(\Psi_{t_y+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y),e_1\right) &\leq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{11}},\ \left|\Psi_{t_y+t}(y)\right| \leq |y|+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}, \text{ and} \\ \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} &\leq \left|\Psi_{t_y+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y)\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.6}$$

Combining this with Inequality 3.4.4, we see that for all $y \in B(0,R)$,

$$\sphericalangle\left(\Psi_{t_y+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y),\Uparrow\right) < \alpha_0. \tag{3.4.7}$$

The second inequality in 3.4.6 combined with the definition of $\overline{\Psi}$ gives that for all $y \in B(0,R)$ and all $t \in \left[0, \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right]$,

$$\overline{\Psi}_{t_y+t}(y) = \Psi_{t_y+t}(y).$$

So Inequalities 3.4.6 and 3.4.7 give that for all $y \in B(0,R)$ and all $t \in \left[0, \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}\right]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sphericalangle\left(\overline{\Psi}_{t_y+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y), \Uparrow\right) &< \alpha_0, \ \left|\overline{\Psi}_{t_y+t}(y)\right| \leq |y| + \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}, \text{ and} \\ \frac{R}{\sqrt{10}} &\leq \left|\overline{\Psi}_{t_y+\frac{R}{\sqrt{10}}}(y)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

So we define Ω to be

$$\Omega : (y,t) \mapsto \overline{\Psi}_{\left(t_y+\sqrt{\frac{R}{10}}\right)t}(y),$$

and note that the previous three displayed lines combined with Part 1 of Lemma 3.3 give us Inequalities 3.2.1, 3.2.2, and 3.2.3, and Equation 3.4.5 gives that Ω_t is the identity on

$$\{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,2R)\}.$$

□

4. Proof of the Connectedness Theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem C. Recall from Section 1 that there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that x_0 is the only critical point for dist_K in $\overline{B(K, c_0+\varepsilon_0)} \setminus B(K, c_0-\varepsilon_0)$.

The proof of Theorem C is divided into three cases corresponding to the three cases in the definition of sub-index.

Case 1: Suppose $A(\Uparrow^K_{x_0}) = \emptyset$. Then for all $v \in S_{x_0}$, we have $\sphericalangle(v, \Uparrow^K_{x_0}) < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and by compactness of S_{x_0}, there is an α so that

$$\sphericalangle(v, \Uparrow^K_{x_0}) < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for all $v \in S_{x_0}$. Combining this with Lemma 1.1, it follows that

$$\text{dist}_K\left(exp_{x_0}(tv)\right) \leq c_0 - \frac{t}{2} \cdot \cos(\alpha)$$

for all $v \in S_{x_0}$ and all sufficiently small t. In particular, the distance between x_0 and K decreases regardless of the direction we travel away from x_0. Thus the point x_0 is a strict local maximum for dist_K.

Suppose $\iota : E^k \longrightarrow B(K, c_0+\varepsilon_0)$ is a cell with $\dim\left(E^k\right) = k \leq n-1$ and $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \in B(K, c_0-\varepsilon_0)$. After applying Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $\iota\left(E^k\right) \subset B(K, c_0-\eta) \cup B(x_0, R)$ for any sufficiently small $R > 0$.

Use transversality (see, e.g., Theorem 14.7 of [1]) to deform ι so that x_0 is not in its image. It follows that $\iota\left(E^k\right) \subset B(K, c_0)$. Since there are no critical points for dist_K on $B(K, c_0) \setminus B(K, c_0-\varepsilon_0)$, it follows that we can further deform ι into $B(K, c_0-\varepsilon_0)$.

Setup for Case 2 (and mostly also for Case 3): Here we describe our setup for Case 2. With a few modifications, it will also be our setup for Case 3. Suppose $\iota : E^k \longrightarrow B(K, c_0+\varepsilon_0)$ is a cell with $\dim\left(E^k\right) = k$ and $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \in B(K, c_0-\varepsilon_0)$. As before, we

construct a homotopy of ι into $B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0)$ that fixes $\iota|_{\partial E^k}$. Since there are no critical points for dist_K on $B(K, c_0) \setminus B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0)$, it is sufficient to construct a homotopy of ι to a cell whose image is in $B(K, c_0)$.

Let S^p be the unit sphere in $\mathrm{span}\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right)$, and set

$$\bar{U}_{\frac{\pi}{4}} = \left\{ v \in S_{x_0} \mid \sphericalangle(v, S^p) \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \right\}.$$

There is an $\tilde{\alpha}_0 \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ so that for all $v \in \bar{U}_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$,

$$\sphericalangle\left(v, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) < \tilde{\alpha}_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{4.0.8}$$

It follows from Lemma 1.1 that there is an $R_1 > 0$ so that for all $\rho \in [0, R_1]$ and all $y \in B(x_0, 2\rho) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)$ with $\sphericalangle\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^y, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) \in (0, \tilde{\alpha}_0)$,

$$\mathrm{dist}_K(y) < c_0 - \frac{\rho}{3}\cos\tilde{\alpha}_0. \tag{4.0.9}$$

Choose $4r < \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{inj}_{x_0},\ \varepsilon_0, R_1\right\}$. Then $\overline{B(x_0, 2r)}$ is contained in $B(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0) \setminus \overline{B(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0)}$. So after applying Lemma 2.1, we may assume

$$\iota\left(E^k\right) \subset B(K, c_0 - \eta) \cup B(x_0, r).$$

In particular,

$$\iota\left(E^k\right) \setminus B(x_0, r) \subset B(K, c_0 - \eta). \tag{4.0.10}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{dist}_K B(x_0, 4r) &\geq c_0 - 4r \\ &> c_0 - \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

and $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \subset B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0)$. Thus $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \cap B(x_0, 4r) = \emptyset$.

Case 2: Suppose $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset$, $\partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset$, and

$$\dim\left(E^k\right) = k \leq n - \dim\mathrm{span}\left\{A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\} - 1.$$

Define

$$C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \equiv \left\{ \exp_{x_0}\left(tA(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right) \mid t \in [0, 2r] \right\}.$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \dim E^k + \dim C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) &= k + \dim\mathrm{span}\left\{A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\} \\ &\leq n - \dim\mathrm{span}\left\{A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\} - 1 + \dim\mathrm{span}\left\{A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\} \\ &< n. \end{aligned}$$

So by transversality (see, e.g., Theorem 14.7 of [1]), there is a homotopy ι_t of ι so that

$$\iota_1\left(E^k\right) \bigcap C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset, \tag{4.0.11}$$

and ι_1 agrees with ι on $\iota_1^{-1}(M \setminus B(x_0, 3r))$. We may, moreover, choose ι_t so that for all t, ι_t is arbitrarily close to ι. Abusing notation we call ι_1, ι. The last ingredient in the proof of Case 2 is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Set*

$$\tilde{\eta} \equiv \min\left\{\eta, \frac{r}{2}\cos\tilde{\alpha}_0\right\}.$$

There is a deformation retraction H_t of $M \setminus C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ with the following properties:
1. H_t fixes both $M \setminus B(x_0, 2r)$ and $B\left(K, c_0 - \tilde{\eta}\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right)$.
2. H_t restricts to a strong deformation retract of $B(x_0, r)\setminus C_rA(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$ to a subset of $B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right)\setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right)$.

Proof. We construct H_t by concatenating two homotopies, which we call the Radial Homotopy and the Angle Homotopy.

To construct the Radial Homotopy, use radial geodesics from x_0 to deform $B(x_0, 2r)\setminus\{x_0\}$ onto

$$B(x_0, 2r) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right).$$

Since $C_{2r}A\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right)$ is a union of radial geodesics, this restricts to a deformation of

$$B(x_0, 2r) \setminus C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$$

onto

$$B(x_0, 2r) \setminus \left\{B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right) \cup C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\}.$$

To construct the Angle Homotopy, apply Part 2 of Proposition 3.1 with S^p the unit sphere in span $\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right)$ and S^q the unit sphere in span $\left(A\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right)\right)$. This gives an isotopy $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_t$ of $S_{x_0} \setminus \{S^p \cup S^q\}$ onto a subset of

$$\bar{U}_{\frac{\pi}{4}} = \left\{v \in S_{x_0} \mid \sphericalangle(v, S^p) \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\right\}.$$

Extending $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_t$ radially gives an isotopy $\mathcal{A}_t$ of

$$\left\{v \in T_{x_0}M \setminus \text{span}\left\{A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\} \mid \frac{1}{2}r \leq |v| \leq 2r\right\}$$

to a subset of

$$\left\{ v \in T_{x_0}M \mid \frac{1}{2}r \leq |v| \leq 2r \text{ and } \frac{v}{|v|} \in \bar{U}_{\frac{\pi}{4}}\right\}.$$

Pre- and post-composing $\mathcal{A}_t$ with $\exp_{x_0}$ then gives an isotopy $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_t$ of $B(x_0, 2r) \setminus C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)$. By Inequalities 4.0.8 and 4.0.9, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_1$ takes $B(x_0, 2r) \setminus \left\{B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right) \cup C_{2r}A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\}$ to a subset of

$$B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{r}{3}\cos\tilde{\alpha}_0\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right) \subset B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right). \tag{4.1.1}$$

Let X be the vector field whose flow gives $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_t$, and let $\varphi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^∞ and satisfy

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \overline{B(x_0, r)} \setminus B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right) \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \{M \setminus B(x_0, 2r)\} \cup \left\{B\left(K, c_0 - \tilde{\eta}\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{r}{2}\right)\right\}. \end{cases} \tag{4.1.2}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_t$ be the flow generated by φX. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_t$ fixes $\{M \setminus B(x_0, 2r)\} \cup \left\{B\left(K, c_0 - \tilde{\eta}\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{r}{2}\right)\right\}$.

It follows from 4.1.1 and Part 2 of Proposition 3.1 that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ takes $B(x_0, r)\setminus\left\{B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right) \cup C_rA(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K)\right\}$ to a subset of $B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{1}{2}r\right)$.

Thus concatenating $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_t$ with the Radial Homotopy, yields the desired homotopy H_t. $\square$

To finish the proof of Case 2, we note that since H_t fixes $\left\{B\left(K, c_0 - \tilde{\eta}\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{r}{2}\right)\right\}$ and $\tilde{\eta} \leq \eta$, Statement 4.0.10 gives

$$H_t|_{\text{image}(\iota) \cap M \setminus B(x_0, r)} = \text{id}.$$

Combining this with 4.0.10 we get,

$$H_t|_{\text{image}(\iota) \cap M \setminus B(x_0, r)} \subset B\left(K, c_0 - \eta\right).$$

By Statement 4.0.11,

$$\left\{\iota_1\left(E^k\right) \cap B\left(x_0, 2r\right)\right\} \bigcap C_{2r} A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) = \emptyset.$$

Combining this with Part 2 of Lemma 4.1 and our abuse of notation that $\iota_1 = \iota$, it follows that

$$H_1\left(\text{image}\left(\iota\right) \cap B(x_0, r)\right) \subset B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right).$$

Therefore $H_1\left(\text{image}\left(\iota\right)\right) \subset B\left(K, c_0\right)$, and $H_t|_{\iota\left(\partial E^k\right)} \equiv \text{id}$. Since there are no critical points for dist_K on $B\left(K, c_0\right) \setminus B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right)$, this completes the proof of Case 2.

Case 3: Suppose $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\iota : E^k \longrightarrow B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right)$ be a cell with $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \in B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right)$. As before, we construct a homotopy of ι into $B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right)$ that fixes $\iota|_{\partial E^k}$.

Since $A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial A(\Uparrow_{x_0}^K) \neq \emptyset$, $\Uparrow_{x_0}^K$, viewed as a subset of the unit tangent sphere at x_0 and after possibly applying a linear isometry, satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2. Let α_0 be as in Theorem 3.2.

By Lemma 1.1, there is an $R_1 > 0$ so that for all $\rho \in [0, R_1]$ and all $y \in B\left(x_0, 4\rho\right) \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{10}}\right)$ with $\sphericalangle\left(\Uparrow_{x_0}^y, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) \in (0, \alpha_0)$,

$$\text{dist}_K\left(y\right) < c_0 - \frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{10}} \cos\left(\alpha_0\right). \tag{4.1.3}$$

Choose $2r < \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\text{inj}_{x_0},\ \varepsilon_0, R_1\right\}$. Then

$$\overline{B(x_0, 2r)} \subset B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon_0\right) \setminus \overline{B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right)}.$$

So after applying Lemma 2.1, we may assume that

$$\iota\left(E^k\right) \subset B\left(K, c_0 - \eta\right) \cup B(x_0, r). \tag{4.1.4}$$

In particular,

$$\iota\left(E^k\right) \setminus B(x_0, r) \subset B\left(K, c_0 - \eta\right). \tag{4.1.5}$$

As in Case 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_K B(x_0, 2r) &\geq c_0 - 2r \\ &> c_0 - \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

and $\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \subset B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0\right)$. Thus

$$\iota\left(\partial E^k\right) \cap B(x_0, 2r) = \emptyset. \tag{4.1.6}$$

Apply Theorem 3.2 with $R = 2r$. Let Ω be the flow of $T_{x_0}M$ this produces. Let Φ be the flow of M obtained by pre- and post-composing Ω by $\exp_{x_0}$, and let X be the vector field that generates Ω.

If $z \in \iota\left(E^k\right) \cap B(x_0, 2r)$, then by Inequalities 3.2.1, 3.2.2 and 3.2.3, we have

$$2\frac{r}{\sqrt{10}} \leq \operatorname{dist}_{x_0}\left(\Phi_1(z)\right),$$

$$\sphericalangle\left(\exp_{x_0}^{-1}\left(\Phi_1(z)\right), \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) \leq \alpha_0 < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and

$$\operatorname{dist}_{x_0}\left(\Phi_t(z)\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{x_0}(z) + \frac{2r}{\sqrt{10}}, \tag{4.1.7}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$. Therefore by Inequality 4.1.3,

$$\operatorname{dist}_K\left(\Phi_1(z)\right) < c_0 - \frac{r}{2\sqrt{10}}\cos\left(\alpha_0\right). \tag{4.1.8}$$

Set $\tilde{\eta} = \min\left\{\eta, \frac{r}{2\sqrt{10}}\cos\left(\alpha_0\right)\right\}$. It follows that for all $z \in B(x_0, 2r)$, there is a first time t_z that varies continuously with z so that

$$\Phi_{t_z}(z) \in \overline{B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right)}. \tag{4.1.9}$$

Let $\psi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^∞ and satisfy

$$\psi = \begin{cases} 1 & B\left(x_0, \frac{7}{4}r\right) \\ 0 & M \setminus B\left(x_0, \frac{9}{5}r\right). \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi}$ be the flow of ψX, and set

$$\Upsilon_t(z) = \tilde{\Phi}_{t_z \cdot t}(z).$$

Combining the definition of Υ with Inequality 4.1.7, we have

$$\Upsilon_t(z) = \Phi_{t_z \cdot t}(z),$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all $z \in B(x_0, r)$. Therefore by 4.1.9, we have

$$\Upsilon_1(z) \in \overline{B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right)}$$

for all $z \in B(x_0, r)$.

For $z \in \iota\left(E^k\right) \setminus B(x_0, r)$, 4.1.5 gives

$$z \in B\left(K, c_0 - \eta\right) \subset \overline{B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2}\right)}.$$

So $t_z = 0$, and

$$\Upsilon_t(z) = \Phi_{t_z \cdot t}(z) = z$$

for all t.

Thus $\iota : E^k \longrightarrow M$ is homotopic to a cell in $B(K, c_0)$ via a homotopy that fixes ∂E^k. Since there are no critical points for dist_K on $B(K, c_0) \setminus B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0)$, it follows that we can further deform ι into $B(K, c_0 - \varepsilon_0)$. $\square$

5. Lemmas Related to Conjugate Points

In this section, we prove a technical estimate, Lemma 5.3 (below), about conjugate points. We then use Lemma 5.3 in the next section to prove Theorem D.

Throughout this section, suppose x_0 is a critical point for dist_K and $v \in \Uparrow_{x_0}^K$ with $\mathrm{dist}_K(x_0) = c_0$. Let $\gamma_v : [0, c_0] \longrightarrow M$ be the segment from $\gamma_v(0) = x_0$ to $\gamma_v(c_0) = p \in K$ with $\gamma_v'(0) = v$.

Our first lemma generalizes the fact that Jacobi fields are determined by their boundary values on intervals that are free of conjugate points.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $w \in S_{x_0}$ is orthogonal to $\ker\left(d\exp_{x_0}\right)_v$. Then there is a unique Jacobi field J_w along γ_v so that*

$$J_w(0) = w \quad \textit{and} \quad J_w(c_0) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the family of Jacobi fields N so that

$$N(0) = N(c_0) = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the family of Jacobi fields P so that

$$P(c_0) = 0 \text{ and } g\left(P'(c_0), N'(c_0)\right) = 0 \text{ for all } N \in \mathcal{N}.$$

We have

$$\ker\left(d\exp_{x_0}\right)_v = \left\{ N'(0) \;\middle|\; N \in \mathcal{N} \right\}. \tag{5.1.1}$$

Next we claim that

$$\{ P(0) \mid P \in \mathcal{P} \} \text{ is the orthogonal complement of } \ker\left(d\exp_{x_0}\right)_v. \tag{5.1.2}$$

First observe that the evaluation map

$$P \mapsto P(0) \text{ is injective.} \tag{5.1.3}$$

Indeed, if $P(0) = 0$, then $P \in \mathcal{N}$. Combined with $g\left(P'(c_0), N'(c_0)\right) = 0$ for all $N \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows that $P \equiv 0$, and the evaluation map is indeed injective.

It follows that

$$\dim\{ P(0) \mid P \in \mathcal{P} \} = \dim(\mathcal{P}) = n - 1 - \dim \mathcal{N}.$$

For $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(g\left(P, N'\right) - g\left(P', N\right)\right) &= g(P, N'') - g(P'', N) \\ &= R(N, \dot\gamma_v, \dot\gamma_v, P) - R(P, \dot\gamma_v, \dot\gamma_v, N) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $P(c_0) = N(c_0) = 0$ and $N(0) = 0$,

$$g\left(P, N'\right)\Big|_{c_0} = g\left(P', N\right)\Big|_{c_0} = 0,$$

and

$$g\left(P,N'\right)\Big|_0 = g\left(P',N\right)\Big|_0 = 0. \tag{5.1.4}$$

Together, Equations 5.1.1 and 5.1.4 give us that $\{P(0) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is orthogonal to $\ker\left(d\exp_{x_0}\right)_v$. On the other hand, both $\{P(0) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and $\left\{\ker\left(d\exp_{x_0}\right)_v\right\}^{\perp}$ have dimension $n-1-\dim\mathcal{N}$, thus Statement 5.1.2 holds.

Now given any $w \perp \ker\left(d\exp_{x_0}\right)_v$, just choose J_w to be the unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P(0) = w$. The uniqueness of P follows from Statement 5.1.3. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\gamma : [0,l] \longrightarrow M$ be a segment. For $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{l}{2}\right]$, let*

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon = \{\, \textit{Jacobi fields } J \textit{ along } \gamma \mid \ |J(0)| = |J(\varepsilon)| = 1\}.$$

There is a $B > 0$ so that for all $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{l}{2}\right]$ and all $J \in \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$,

$$\left|J|_{[0,\varepsilon]}\right| \leq B.$$

Proof. Set

$$B_\varepsilon \equiv \max\left\{\left|J|_{[0,\varepsilon]}\right| \ \middle|\ J \in \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon\right\}.$$

Then B_ε is a continuous function of $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{l}{2}\right]$. So the result follows provided

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq t} B_\varepsilon < \infty.$$

If not, there a sequence of $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ and a sequence of Jacobi fields $\{J_{\varepsilon_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\varepsilon_i} &\in \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_i} \text{ and} \\ |J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2 &\geq i \longrightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for some $s_i \in [0, \varepsilon_i]$. Without loss of generality, we may further assume that

$$|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2 \geq |J_{\varepsilon_i}(t)|^2 \text{ for all } t \in [0, \varepsilon_i].$$

By the Mean Value Theorem,

$$\frac{d}{dt}|J_{\varepsilon_i}(\sigma_i)|^2 \geq \frac{|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2 - 1}{s_i} \geq \frac{|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2 - 1}{\varepsilon_i}$$

for some $\sigma_i \in [0, s_i]$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}|J_{\varepsilon_i}(\tau_i)|^2 \leq \frac{1 - |J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2}{\varepsilon_i - s_i} \leq \frac{1 - |J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2}{\varepsilon_i}$$

for some $\tau_i \in [s_i, \varepsilon_i]$, provided i is large enough so that $|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)|^2 > 1$.

It follows there is a $\kappa_i \in [\sigma_i, \tau_i]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{dt}\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i)\right|^2 &\leq \frac{\frac{1-\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2}{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2-1}{\varepsilon_i}}{\tau_i - \sigma_i} \\
&= \left(\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 - 1\right)\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon_i}\right)\frac{-1}{\tau_i - \sigma_i} \\
&\leq \left(\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 - 1\right)\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon_i}\right)\frac{-1}{\varepsilon_i} \\
&= -\frac{2}{\varepsilon_i^2}\left(\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 - 1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{dt}g\left(J_{\varepsilon_i}, J_{\varepsilon_i}\right)\Big|_{\kappa_i} &= 2g\left(J''_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i), J_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i)\right) + 2g\left(J'_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i), J'_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i)\right) \\
&= 2R\left(J_{\varepsilon_i}, \dot\gamma, \dot\gamma, J_{\varepsilon_i}\right)|_{\kappa_i} + 2g\left(J'_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i), J'_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous two displays,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2}{\varepsilon_i^2}\left(\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 - 1\right) &\geq 2R\left(J_{\varepsilon_i}, \dot\gamma, \dot\gamma, J_{\varepsilon_i}\right)|_{\kappa_i} + 2g\left(J'_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i), J'_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i)\right) \\
&\geq 2R\left(J_{\varepsilon_i}, \dot\gamma, \dot\gamma, J_{\varepsilon_i}\right)|_{\kappa_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left|R\left(J_{\varepsilon_i}, \dot\gamma, \dot\gamma, J_{\varepsilon_i}\right)|_{\kappa_i}\right| \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^2}\left(\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 - 1\right).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|R\left(J_{\varepsilon_i}, \dot\gamma, \dot\gamma, J_{\varepsilon_i}\right)|_{\kappa_i}\right| &\leq \|R_{\kappa_i}\| \cdot \left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(\kappa_i)\right|^2 \\
&\leq \|R_{\kappa_i}\| \cdot \left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The previous two displays give

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^2}\left(\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 - 1\right) &\leq \|R_{\kappa_i}\|\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2 \text{ or} \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2}\right) &\leq \|R_{\kappa_i}\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields a contradiction, since as $i \to \infty$, $\|R_{\kappa_i}\| \longrightarrow \|R_0\|$, $\varepsilon_i \to 0$, and $\frac{1}{\left|J_{\varepsilon_i}(s_i)\right|^2} \longrightarrow 0$. □

Given $w \in S_{x_0}$ and $H \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$T_{2,H}^{x_0,w}(t) \equiv \operatorname{dist}_K x_0 - t \cdot \cos \sphericalangle(w, \uparrow_{x_0}^K) + \frac{1}{2}H \cdot t^2.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $c_0 \equiv \mathrm{dist}_K x_0$ and let H be:*
(1) $-g\left(J_w'(0), J_w(0)\right)$ if $w \in S_{x_0}$ is orthogonal to $\ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v$, and J_w is as in Lemma 5.1.
(2) any number if $w \in S_{x_0}$ is not orthogonal to $\ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v$.
There exists an interval $[0, m]$, depending on w and H, for which

$$\mathrm{dist}_K\left(\exp_{x_0}(tw)\right) \leq T_{2,H}^{x_0,w}(t) + o(t^2).$$

Proof. Given $w \in S_{x_0}$, choose $v \in \Uparrow_{x_0}^K$ so that

$$\sphericalangle\left(w, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K\right) = \sphericalangle\left(w, v\right).$$

Let W be a vector field along γ_v with $W(0) = w$ and $W(c_0) = 0$, and let $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, c_0] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow M$ be the variation of γ_v obtained by exponentiating W. Then by 1^{st}–variation,

$$\left.\frac{d\mathrm{Len}(\tilde{\gamma}_s)}{ds}\right|_{s=0} = -\cos\sphericalangle(w, \Uparrow_{x_0}^K),$$

and by 2^{nd}–variation,

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{d^2E(\tilde{\gamma}_s)}{ds^2}\right|_{s=0} &= \int_0^{c_0}\left\{|W'|^2 - R\left(W, \dot{\gamma}_v, \dot{\gamma}_v, W\right) - \left[\frac{d}{dt}g\left(W, \dot{\gamma}_v\right)\right]^2\right\}dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{c_0}\left\{|W'|^2 - R\left(W, \dot{\gamma}_v, \dot{\gamma}_v, W\right)\right\}dt.\end{aligned}$$

(See, e.g., [4] pages 5, 20.)

Thus it suffices to find a vector field W along γ_v with $W(0) = w$ and $W(c_0) = 0$ such that $I(W, W) \leq H$, where

$$I(W, W) \equiv \int_0^{c_0}\left\{|W'|^2 - R\left(W, \dot{\gamma}_v, \dot{\gamma}_v, W\right)\right\}dt$$

is the index form on (W, W).

Suppose w is orthogonal to $\ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v$. By Lemma 5.1, there is a Jacobi field J_w along γ_v with $J_w(0) = w$ and $J_w(c_0) = 0$. Thus for $H = I(J_w, J_w) = -g\left(J_w'(0), J_w(0)\right)$, the result holds.

Next we consider the special case when w is in $\ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v$. In this event, there is a nonzero Jacobi field J along γ_v such that $J(0) = J(c_0) = 0$ and $J'(0) = w$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define a vector field V_ε by

$$V_\varepsilon(t) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{J(t)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} & \text{if } t \in [\varepsilon, c_0] \\ Y_\varepsilon(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, \varepsilon], \end{cases}$$

where Y_ε is the Jacobi field with $Y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon) = \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}$ and $Y_\varepsilon(0) = J'(0) = w$. Then the index form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(V_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon) &= g\left(\frac{J'(c_0)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}, \frac{J(c_0)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\right) - g\left(\frac{J'(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}, \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\right) \\ &\quad + g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), Y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon)\right) - g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), Y_\varepsilon(0)\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{|J(\varepsilon)|^2} g\left(J'(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)\right) + g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\right) - g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), J'(0)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The limit of the first term is

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{-g\left(J'(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)\right)}{|J(\varepsilon)|^2} &= -\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{g\left(J''(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)\right) + g\left(J'(\varepsilon), J'(\varepsilon)\right)}{2g\left(J'(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)\right)} \\ &= -\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.1}$$

since $J'(0) = w \neq 0$ and $J(0) = 0$.

Given any $C > 0$, it follows that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$I(V_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon) \leq -C,$$

provided we can find bounds on

$$g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\right) \text{ and } g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), J'(0)\right)$$

that are independent of ε.

Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be an orthonormal parallel frame for the normal space of γ_v with $E_1(0) = J'(0)$. Write $J = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i E_i$ where each f_i is a smooth function. Since $J(0) = 0$, $f_i(0) = 0$ for all i. Since $E_1(0) = J'(0)$, $f_1'(0) = 1$ and $f_i'(0) = 0$ for all $i = 2, ..., n-1$. Since J is a Jacobi field with $J(0) = 0$,

$$J''(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i''(0) E_i(0) = -R\left(J(0), \dot\gamma_v(0)\right)\dot\gamma_v(0) = 0.$$

So $f_i''(0) = 0$ for all i. It follows that there exists an interval on which

$$f_1(t) = t + \mathcal{O}(t^3) \text{ and } f_i(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^3) \text{ for } i = 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

We use this to approximate $\frac{J(t)}{|J(t)|}$. First note that

$$|J(t)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i^2(t) = t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^4) = t^2\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^2)\right).$$

Taking the square root, we get

$$|J(t)| = \sqrt{t^2(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^2))} = t\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^2)\right) = t + \mathcal{O}(t^3).$$

Combining these

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{J(t)}{|J(t)|} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(t)E_i(t)}{t+\mathcal{O}(t^3)} \\ &= \left(1+\mathcal{O}(t^2)\right)E_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}(t^2)E_i(t).\end{aligned} \tag{5.3.2}$$

In order to approximate Y_ε', we write $Y_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_{\varepsilon,i}E_i$, where each $h_{\varepsilon,i}$ is a smooth function that depends on ε. By Lemma 5.2, there is $B>0$ so that for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$\left|h_{\varepsilon,i}''\big|_{[0,\varepsilon]}\right| = \left|g\left(Y_\varepsilon'', E_i\right)|_{[0,\varepsilon]}\right| = \left|R(Y_\varepsilon, \dot\gamma_v, \dot\gamma_v, E_i)|_{[0,\varepsilon]}\right| \le B. \tag{5.3.3}$$

Thus

$$|Y_\varepsilon''|^2 = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_{\varepsilon,i}''E_i\right|^2 \le (n-1)\,B^2.$$

Since $Y_\varepsilon(0) = J'(0)$ and $E_1(0) = J'(0)$, we have $h_{\varepsilon,1}(0)=1$ and $h_{\varepsilon,i}(0)=0$ for $i=2,\dots,n-1$. Taylor's Theorem combined with Inequality 5.3.3 give us an interval $[0,m]$, independent of ε, on which

$$h_{\varepsilon,1}(t) = 1 + h_{\varepsilon,1}'(0)t + \mathcal{O}(t^2) \tag{5.3.4}$$

and

$$h_{\varepsilon,i}(t) = h_{\varepsilon,i}'(0)t + \mathcal{O}(t^2) \text{ for } i = 2,\dots,n-1.$$

Using Equation 5.3.2, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_{\varepsilon,i}\left(\varepsilon\right)E_i\left(\varepsilon\right) = Y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon) = \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} = \left(1+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\right)E_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)E_i(\varepsilon). \tag{5.3.5}$$

Combining the previous three displays gives

$$\begin{aligned}1 + h_{\varepsilon,1}'(0)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = h_{\varepsilon,1}(\varepsilon) = 1+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \text{ and} \\ h_{\varepsilon,i}'(0)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = h_{\varepsilon,i}(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \text{ for } i\ge 2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3.6}$$

So

$$h_{\varepsilon,i}'(0) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \text{ for all } i. \tag{5.3.7}$$

Combining Equation 5.3.7 with $Y_\varepsilon'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_{\varepsilon,i}'(0)E_i(0)$ and $|J'(0)|=1$ gives

$$\left|g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), J'(0)\right)\right|^2 \le |Y_\varepsilon'(0)|^2 \le \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \tag{5.3.8}$$

Next we estimate $Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h_{\varepsilon,i}'(\varepsilon)E_i(\varepsilon)$ by bounding $h_{\varepsilon,i}'(\varepsilon)$. Combining Inequality 5.3.3 with the fact that $h_{\varepsilon,i}'(0) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\left|h_{\varepsilon,i}'(\varepsilon)\right| = \left|h_{\varepsilon,i}'(0) + \int_0^\varepsilon h_{\varepsilon,i}''(t)dt\right| \le \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Thus

$$\left|g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\right)\right| \le |Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon)| \le \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \tag{5.3.9}$$

Combining (5.3.1), (5.3.8), and (5.3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(V_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon) &= -\frac{1}{|J(\varepsilon)|^2} g\left(J'(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)\right) + g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\right) - g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), J'(0)\right) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{|J(\varepsilon)|^2} g\left(J'(\varepsilon), J(\varepsilon)\right) + |\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)| \longrightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

To handle the general case, write $w = w_{\text{tang}} + w_\perp$ with $w_{\text{tang}} \in \ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v$ and $w_\perp \in \left(\ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v\right)^\perp$. Let U and J be Jacobi fields along γ_v with

$$\begin{aligned} U(0) &= w_\perp,\ U(c_0) = 0, \\ J(0) &= J(c_0) = 0, \text{ and } J'(0) = w_{tang}. \end{aligned}$$

As before, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a vector field V_ε by

$$V_\varepsilon(t) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{J(t)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} |w_{\text{tang}}| & \text{if } t \in [\varepsilon, c_0] \\ Y_\varepsilon(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, \varepsilon], \end{cases}$$

where Y_ε is the Jacobi field with $Y_\varepsilon(\varepsilon) = \frac{J(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} |w_{\text{tang}}|$ and $Y_\varepsilon(0) = J'(0) = w_{\text{tang}}$. Notice that $\tilde{W}_\varepsilon = U + V_\varepsilon$ is a vector field along γ_v that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_\varepsilon(0) &= w \text{ and} \\ \tilde{W}_\varepsilon(c_0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So given $H \in \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to show that for ε sufficiently small, $I(\tilde{W}_\varepsilon, \tilde{W}_\varepsilon) \leq H$. Since

$$I(\tilde{W}_\varepsilon, \tilde{W}_\varepsilon) = I\left(U, U\right) + 2I\left(U, V_\varepsilon\right) + I\left(V_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon\right),$$

it follows from the first two cases, that it is sufficient to bound $I(U, V_\varepsilon)$ from above by a constant that is independent of ε.

Since $U(c_0) = 0$,

$$I(U, V_\varepsilon) = -g\left(\frac{J'(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} |w_{\text{tang}}|, U(\varepsilon)\right) + g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), U(\varepsilon)\right) - g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), U(0)\right).$$

Since U does not depend on ε and is bounded, Inequalities 5.3.8 and 5.3.9 give

$$\left| g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), U(\varepsilon)\right) - g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), U(0)\right)\right| \leq \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \tag{5.3.10}$$

To estimate $g\left(\frac{J'(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} |w_{\text{tang}}|, U(\varepsilon)\right)$, we write $J = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i E_i$. As before, there is an interval on which

$$f_1(t) = |w_{tang}| \cdot t + \mathcal{O}(t^3) \text{ and } f_i(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^3) \text{ for } i \geq 2.$$

Now write $U = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i E_i$, where each k_i is a smooth function. Since $U(0) \perp J'(0)$, we have $k_1(0) = 0$. Thus $k_1(t) = \mathcal{O}(t)$ and $k_i(t) = h_i(0) + \mathcal{O}(t)$ for $i \geq 2$ on a uniform interval. So

for sufficiently small ε,

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{J'(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\left|w_{\text{tang}}\right|, U(\varepsilon)\right) &= \frac{|w_{\text{tang}}|}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i'(\varepsilon)k_i(\varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{|w_{\text{tang}}|}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\left[\left(|w_{tang}| + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\right)\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)\left(h_i(0) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|} \\ &\leq L, \end{aligned}$$

where L is a constant that is independent of ε.

Combined with Inequality 5.3.10,

$$\begin{aligned} I(U, V_\varepsilon) &= -g\left(\frac{J'(\varepsilon)}{|J(\varepsilon)|}\left|w_{\text{tang}}\right|, U(\varepsilon)\right) + g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon), U(\varepsilon)\right) - g\left(Y_\varepsilon'(0), U\left(0\right)\right) \\ &\leq L + |\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.11}$$

□

6. Critical Points that Impact the Fundamental Group

In this section we restate Theorem D and outline its proof.

Theorem D. *Suppose that the critical points for* $\operatorname{dist}(K, \cdot)$ *are isolated and that for some* $c_0 > 0$ *and all sufficiently small* $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\pi_1(B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon\right), B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)) \neq 0.$$

Then there is a critical point x_0 *for* $\operatorname{dist}(K, \cdot)$ *with* $\operatorname{dist}(K, x_0) = c_0$ *so that there are only two minimal geodesics from* K *to* x_0 *that make angle* π *at* x_0*. Moreover, the ends of these geodesic segments are not conjugate along the segments.*

Outline of proof. If for all sufficiently small ε, $\pi_1(B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon\right), B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)) \neq 0$, Theorem C implies there is a critical point x_0 for dist_K of sub-index 1 with $\operatorname{dist}_K(x_0) = c_0$. It follows that $\Uparrow_{x_0}^K$ is a pair of antipodal points, say v and $-v$.

Let γ_v be the unique geodesic with $\gamma_v'(0) = v$. It remains to show that x_0 is neither conjugate to $\gamma_v(c_0)$ along γ_v nor to $\gamma_{-v}(c_0)$ along γ_{-v}. Suppose that x_0 is conjugate to $\gamma_v(c_0)$ along γ_v. Then $\mathcal{K} \equiv \ker(d\exp_{x_0})_v$ has dimension ≥ 1, and $\mathcal{K}^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{K}$, has dimension $\leq n-2$. We will show this implies that for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\pi_1(B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon\right), B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)) = 0.$$

Suppose $\iota : E^1 \longrightarrow B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon\right)$ is a 1–cell with $\iota\left(\partial E^1\right) \in B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)$. As in the proof of Theorem C, we apply Lemma 2.1 to a sufficiently small $r < \frac{1}{4} \cdot \operatorname{inj}_{x_0}$ to deform ι so that

$$\iota\left(E^1\right) \subset \left\{B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{r}{2}\right) \cup B(x_0, r)\right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\dim E^1 + \dim \mathcal{K}^\perp &\leq 1 + n - 2 \\ &< n,\end{aligned}$$

we can use transversality, as in Case 2 of the proof of Theorem C, to move ι so that

$$\iota\left(E^1\right) \subset \left\{B\left(K, c_0 - \frac{r}{2}\right) \cup B(x_0, r)\right\} \setminus \exp_{x_0}\left\{v \in \mathcal{K}^\perp \;\middle|\; |v| \leq r\right\}.$$

Then, as in Case 2 of the proof of Theorem C, we combine the proof of Lemma 4.1 with Part 2 of Lemma 5.3 to show that if r is sufficiently small, then we can move ι so that

$$\iota\left(E^1\right) \subset B\left(K, c_0\right).$$

This contradicts our hypothesis that $\pi_1(B\left(K, c_0 + \varepsilon\right), B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)) \neq 0$. □

7. Other Versions of the Connectivity Results

We close pointing out that our techniques also yield the following alternative versions of Theorems C and D.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose x_0 is an isolated critical point for $\operatorname{dist}(K, \cdot)$ with $\operatorname{dist}(K, x_0) = c_0$ and sub-index λ. Then for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, the inclusion $B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right) \hookrightarrow B\left(K, c_0\right) \cup B\left(x_0, \varepsilon\right)$ is $(\lambda - 1)$-connected.*

That is,

$$\pi_i(B\left(K, c_0\right) \cup B\left(x_0, \varepsilon\right),\ B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)) = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, (\lambda - 1)$.

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose x_0 is an isolated critical point for $\operatorname{dist}(K, \cdot)$ with $\operatorname{dist}(K, x_0) = c_0$ and that for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\pi_1(B\left(K, c_0\right) \cup B\left(x_0, \varepsilon\right), B\left(K, c_0 - \varepsilon\right)) \neq 0.$$

Then there are only two minimal geodesics from K to x_0 that make angle π at x_0. Moreover, the ends of these geodesic segments are not conjugate along the segments.

References

[1] T. Brocker and K. Janich, *Introduction to Differential Topology*, Cambridge University Press (2007), 143-152.

[2] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, volume 33 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, AMS (2001), 122.

[3] Y. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perelman, *A.D. Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded from below*, I, Uspechi Mat. Nauk. **47** (1992), 3–51.

[4] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry,* North-Holland, 1975.

[5] J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, *On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, Ann. of Math. **96** (1972), 413–443.

[6] M. Gromov, *Curvature, diameter and betti numbers*, Comment. Math. Helv. **56** (1981), 179-195.

[7] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, **152**, Birkhäuser, 1999. Based on the 1981 French original [MR0682063 (85e:53051)], with appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes. Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.

[8] K. Grove and K. Shiohama, *A generalized sphere theorem*, Ann. of Math. **106** (1977), 201-211.

[9] B. Herzog, *The sub-index of critical points of distance functions: 3-D torus example,* https://sites.google.com/site/frederickhwilhelmjr/slides/sub-index/3-D-torus
[10] C. Plaut, *Metric spaces of curvature* $\geq k$, *Handbook of geometric topology*, Elsevier Science B.V. (2002), 819-898.
[11] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry,* 2^{nd} Ed. New York: Springer Verlag, 2006.

Department of Mathematics, Doane College
E-mail address: barbara.herzog@doane.edu
URL: http://www.doane.edu/barbara-herzog

Department of Mathematics, Univ.of Calf., Riverside
E-mail address: fred@math.ucr.edu
URL: https://sites.google.com/site/frederickhwilhelmjr/